Some Results about Heat Equation

S. Kumaresan School of Math. and Stat. University of Hyderabad Hyderabad 500046 kumaresa@gmail.com

1 Fundamental Solution of the Heat Operator

Definition 1. The function

$$E(x,t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(2a\sqrt{\pi t})^n} \exp(-\frac{|x|^2}{4a^2t}) & \text{for } t > 0\\ 0 & \text{for } t \le 0 \end{cases}$$

is a fundamental solution of the Heat operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - a^2 \Delta$.

Recall that this means that for each $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} E(x,t) \left(-\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \Delta \varphi \right) (x,t) = \varphi(0,0).$$

The function E is locally integrable in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} since E = 0 for $t \leq 0$ and for t > 0 we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E(x,t) \, dx = \frac{1}{(2a\sqrt{\pi t})^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\frac{|x|^2}{4a^2t}) \, dx = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-\xi^2} \, d\xi = 1. \tag{1}$$

For t > 0 and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, E(x, t) is smooth. We therefore compute

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{|x|^2}{4a^2t^2} - \frac{n}{2t}\right)E\tag{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial x_j} = -\frac{x_j}{2a^2t}E \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial x_j^2} = \left(\frac{x_j^2}{4a^2t^2} - \frac{1}{2a^2t}\right) E.$$
(4)

It follows from (2) and (4 that E(x, t) satisfies the heat equation for t > 0:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}E - a^2 \Delta E = 0. \tag{5}$$

Let $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. We now compute:

To obtain the last equality, we used (5). We change the variables in (6). Put $y = \frac{x}{2a\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$. Then the integral on the right side of (6) becomes

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \pi^{-n/2} \exp(-|y|^2) \varphi(2a\sqrt{\varepsilon},\varepsilon) \, dy.$$

In this integral, the function $e^{-|y|^2}$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and φ is bounded on \mathbb{R}^n so that we can apply the dominated convergence theorem to conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \pi^{-n/2} \exp(-|y|^2) \varphi(2a\sqrt{\varepsilon},\varepsilon) \, dy \to \pi^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-|y|^2) \varphi(0,0) \, dy = \varphi(0,0).$$

2 Maximum Principle for Bounded Domains

Let Ω be a bounded domain. Let $\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$, for $T \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then the *parabolic boundary* $\partial_p \Omega_T$ of Ω_T is defined by

$$\partial_p \Omega_T := \partial \Omega_T \setminus (\Omega \times \{T\}).$$

Theorem 2 (Maximum principle for bounded domains). Let $u \in C^{2,1}(\Omega_T) \cap C(\overline{\Omega}O_T)$. Assume that $u_t - \Delta u \leq 0$ in Ω_T . Then

$$\sup_{\Omega_T} u = \sup_{\partial_p \Omega_T} u. \tag{7}$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary but fixed. Consider the function

$$v(x,t) := u(x,t) - \varepsilon t, \qquad (x,t) \in \overline{\Omega}_{T-\varepsilon}.$$

It satisfies

$$v_t - \Delta v \le -\varepsilon < 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega_{T_{\varepsilon}}.$$
 (8)

Since v is continuous in $\overline{\Omega}_{T-\varepsilon}$, it achieves its maximum at some $(x_0, t_0) \in \overline{\Omega}_{T_{\varepsilon}}$. If $(x_0, t_0) \notin \partial_p \Omega_{T-\varepsilon}$, by elementary calculus, $(v_t - \Delta v)(x_0, t_0) \ge 0$, contradicting (8). Therefore conclude that $(x_0, t_0) \in \partial_p \Omega_{T_{\varepsilon}}$. For each $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$u(x,t) \le 2\varepsilon T + \sup_{\partial_p \Omega_T} u, \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in \Omega_{T-\varepsilon}.$$

Ex. 3. Let $u \in C^{2,1}(\Omega_T) \cap C(\overline{\Omega} 0_T)$. Assume that $u_t - \Delta u \ge 0$ in Ω_T . Then

$$\inf_{\Omega_T} u = \inf_{\partial_p \Omega_T} u. \tag{9}$$

Corollary 4. Let $u \in C^{2,1}(\Omega_T) \cap C(\overline{\Omega}0_T)$. Assume that $u_t - \Delta u = 0$ in Ω_T . Then

$$\|u\|_{\infty,\Omega_T} = \|u\|_{\infty,\partial_p\Omega_T}.$$
(10)

Remark 5. Theorem 2 is a weak maximum principle since it does not exclude the possibility of u attaining its supremum at some other points in $\overline{\Omega}_T$. For example, u could be identically constant in Ω_T . A strong maximum principle will assert that this is the only other possibility.

Corollary 6 (Uniqueness for bounded domains). There exists at most one solution of the boundary value problem $u \in C^{2,1}(\Omega_T) \times C(\overline{\Omega}_T)$ with $u_t - \Delta u = f$ in Ω_T for $f \in C(\Omega)$ and u = g on $\partial_p \Omega_T$ for $g \in C(\partial_p \Omega_T)$.

Proof. If both u and v are solutions, then w = u - v is a solution of $w_t - \Delta w = 0$ and w = 0 on $\partial_p \Omega_T$. Hence $w \equiv 0$ by Theorem 2.

Remark 7. A boundary value problem for the heat equation with data prescribed on the whole boundary $\partial \Omega_T$ is in general not well-posed. For example, consider the domain $R = (0,1) \times (0,1)$ and a function $\varphi \in C(\partial R)$ which takes an absolute maximum on the open line segment $\{0 < x < 1\} \times \{t = 1\}$, then the problem $u_t - \Delta u = 0$ with the boundary condition $u = \varphi$ on ∂R cannot have a solution for it would violate Theorem 2.

3 Maximum Principle on \mathbb{R}^n

Let $\Omega_T := \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T)$. A point of Ω_T is denoted by (x,t). By $C^{2,1}(\Omega_T)$, we mean the collection of functions on Ω_T that are C^2 in the $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ -variable and C^1 in the t variable.

Theorem 8 (Maximum Principle for $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T)$). Let $u \in C^{2,1}(\Omega_T) \cap C(\overline{\Omega}_T)$. Let $u_t - \Delta u \leq 0$ in Ω_T and u(x,0) = g(x) with $g \in C(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Assume that u satisfies the following growth condition: There exist constants $C, \alpha, r \in (0,\infty)$ such that

$$u(x,t) \le C e^{\alpha ||x||^2}, \qquad for \ (x,t) \in \Omega_T \ and \ |x| > r.$$

$$(11)$$

Then $\sup_{\Omega_T} u \leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} g$.

Proof. Assume that T is so small that $4\alpha T < 1$. Let $U := B(0, \rho) \times (0, T)$. Consider the function

$$v := u - \frac{\varepsilon}{[4\pi(T-t)]^{n/2}} e^{|x|^2/4(T-t)}$$

One easily checks that $v_t - \Delta v \leq 0$ in U. We can therefore apply the maximum principle for bounded domains. Let $\partial_p U$ denote the parabolic boundary of U. We have

$$v(x,0) \leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x,0) = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)$$

$$v(x,t)_{|x|=\rho} \leq C e^{\alpha \rho^2} - \varepsilon (4\pi T)^{-n/2} e^{\rho^2/4T}.$$
(12)

Since $\alpha < 4T$, it follows that

$$v \le 0 \quad \text{on } |x| = \rho. \tag{13}$$

Since ε is arbitrary, the result follows from (12) and (13) provided that $4\alpha T < 1$.

If $4\alpha T \ge 1$, subdivide the strip in the *t*-variable into finitely many strips of width less than $1/4\alpha$.

Theorem 9 (Uniqueness for the Cauchy problem). Let $g \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T))$. Then there exists at most one solution $u \in C^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T))$ of the Cauchy problem

$$u_t - \Delta u = f \quad in \ \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$$
$$u = g \quad on \ \mathbb{R}^n \times \{t = 0\}$$

satisfying the growth estimate $|u(x,t)| \leq Ae^{\alpha |x|^2}$ for all x with |x| > r some constants C, α, r .

Proof. If u and v both are solutions of the Cauchy problem, apply the last theorem to the function $w = \pm (u - v)$.

4 Example of Non-uniqueness

Proposition 10. There exist nonidentically zero solutions of the Cauchy problem $u_t - \Delta u = 0$ in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$ and u(x, 0) = 0.

Proof. For $z \in \mathbb{C}$, let

$$\varphi(z) := \begin{cases} e^{-1/z^2}, & z \neq 0\\ 0, & z = 0. \end{cases}$$

Define

$$u(x,t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \varphi(t) \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!}, & t > 0\\ 0 & t = 0. \end{cases}$$
(14)

We proceed formally.

$$\lim_{t \to 0} u(x,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \varphi(0) \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \varphi(t) 2n(2n-1) \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \varphi(t) \frac{x^{2(n-1)}}{(2(n-1))!}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{n+1}}{(2n-1)!} \varphi(t) \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n-1)!}$$
(16)

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} dt^{n+1} \varphi^{(e)}(2n)!$$

$$= \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}.$$
(10)
(11)

These calculations are rigorous in view of the following lemma.

Lemma 11. The series in (14 - 16) are uniformly convergent on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$.

Proof. The function $z \mapsto \varphi(z)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. We identify the *t*-axis with the real axis of the complex plane. If t > 0 is fixed, the circle $\gamma(\theta) := t + \frac{t}{2}e^{i\theta}$ does not meet the origin. By Cauchy integral formula we have

$$\frac{d^n}{dt^n}\varphi(t) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\varphi(z)}{(z-t)^{n+1}} dz.$$

It follows from this that

$$\left|\frac{d^n}{dt^n}\varphi(t)\right| \le \frac{n!}{2\pi} (\frac{2}{t})^n \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-\Re(z^{-2})} d\theta.$$

For z on γ , we have $z^2 = t^2(1 + \frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta})^2$. So,

$$z^{-2} = t^{-2} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{4}e^{-2i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}\right)}{\left|\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta}\right)^2\right|^2}$$

From this, we get $\Re(z^{-2}) \ge (2t)^{-2}$, and hence

$$\left|\frac{d^n}{dt^n}\varphi(t)\right| \le n! (\frac{2}{t})^n e^{-1/4t^2}.$$

Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and R > 0. Then for all x with |x| < R, using the Stirling's inequality

$$\frac{2^n (n!)^2}{(2n)!} \le 1.$$

the series in (14) is seen to be majorized, term by term, by the uniformly convergent series

$$e^{-1/4t^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{t})^n \frac{(R^2)^n}{n!} = e^{-1/4t^2} e^{R^2/t}.$$

5 Backward Uniqueness

Theorem 12 (Backward Uniqueness). Assume that u and v are solutions of the Cauchy problem for the heat equation in $U_T = U \times (0, T)$ with the same boundary condition u = v = 0 on $\partial U \times [0, T]$. If u(x, T) = v(x, T) for $x \in U$, then u = v on U_T .

Proof. Write w = u = v. Let

$$E(t) := \int_U w^2(x,t) \, dx, \quad t \in [0,T].$$

By hypothesis E(T) = 0 and we may assume that E(t) > 0 for $0 \le t < T$. We compute the first derivative of E.

$$E'(t) = 2 \int_{U} ww_t dx$$

= $2 \int_{U} w\Delta w dx$
= $-2 \int_{U} |\nabla w|^2 dx \le 0.$ (18)

Furthermore, we compute the second derivative of E.

$$E''(t) = -4 \int_{U} \sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{x_k} w_{x_k t} dx$$

= $4 \int_{U} \Delta w w_t dx$
= $4 \int_{U} (\Delta w)^2 dx.$ (19)

Now since w = 0 on ∂U ,

$$\begin{split} \int_{U} |\nabla w|^2 dx &= -\int_{U} w \Delta w dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{U} w^2 \, dx\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{U} (\Delta w)^2 \, dx\right)^{1/2}. \end{split}$$

Thus (18) and (19) imply

$$(E'(t))^2 = 4\left(\int_U |Dw|^2 dx\right)^2$$

$$\leq \left(\int_U w^2 dx\right) \left(4\int_U (\Delta w)^2 dx\right)^{1/2}$$

$$= E(t)E''(t).$$

That is,

$$E''(t)E(t) \ge (E'(t))^2.$$
(20)

Let $f(t) := \log E(t)$. Then $f''(t) \ge 0$ by (20) and hence is convex on the interval (0, T). Consequently, if $\lambda, t \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$f(\lambda t) = f((1 - \lambda)0 + \lambda t) \le (1 - \lambda)f(0) + \lambda f(t).$$

It follows that

$$E(\lambda t) \le E(0)^{1-\lambda} E(t)^{\lambda}, t \in (0,T),$$

and so,

$$E(\lambda T) \le E(0)^{1-\lambda} E(T)^{\lambda}.$$

Since E(T) = 0, this entails in E(t) = 0 for $t \in (0, T)$, a contradiction to our assumption.

6 Nonhomogeneous Equation — Duhamel's Principle

Theorem 13 (Duhamel). Let $g \in C(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let f be a function on $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T]$ such that f is $C^{2,1}$ and f_t , $\partial_j f$ and $\partial_j \partial_k f$ exist, are continuous and bounded on $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T]$. Define

$$u(x,t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x-y,t)g(y) \, dy + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x-y,t-s)f(y,s) \, dy \, ds.$$
(21)

Then u is bounded, $u \in C^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T])$ and is a solution of the initial value problem $u_t - \Delta u = f$ and u(x,0) = g(x) for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $0 \le t \le T$.

Proof. We shall only sketch the main step. It suffices to show that the second term of (21) is a solution of $u_t - \Delta u = f$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x-y,t-s) f(y,s) \, dy \, ds &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} K(x-y,t-s) f(y,s) \, dy \, ds \\ &\lim_{s \to t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x-y,t-s) f(y,s) \, dy \, ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta K(x-y,t-s) f(y,s) \, dy \, ds + f(x,t) \\ &= \Delta \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x-y,t-s) f(y,s) \, dy \, ds \right) \\ &+ f(x,t). \end{aligned}$$