

Topics in Measure Theory

S. Kumaresan
School of Math. and Stat.
University of Hyderabad
Hyderabad 500046
kumaresa@gmail.com

1 Product Measure and Fubini Theorem

Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{B}, ν) be two measure spaces. We wish to find a ‘natural’ σ -algebra \mathcal{C} on $X \times Y$ and a measure α on \mathcal{C} . The obvious requirements on \mathcal{C} and α is that if $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$, then $A \times B \in \mathcal{C}$ and that $\alpha(A \times B) = \mu(A) \times \nu(B)$. Since we “know” how to integrate with respect to μ and ν , the next requirement is that if $f: (X \times Y, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is measurable, then

$$\int_{X \times Y} f(x, y) d\alpha = \int_X \left(\int_Y f(x, y) d\nu \right) d\mu = \int_Y \left(\int_X f(x, y) d\mu \right) d\nu. \quad (1)$$

Note that if we take $R := A \times B$ with $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$, then $f := \chi_R \equiv \mathbf{1}_R$, the characteristic (or the indicator) function of R , satisfies (1). Note also that for (1) to make sense, we need to ensure that the functions $x \rightarrow f(x, y)$ for y fixed and $y \rightarrow f(x, y)$ for x fixed are measurable on the respective spaces. One may hope to extend the result in (1) to finite linear combinations of such $\mathbf{1}_R$'s and use monotone convergence theorem to extend it to all non-negative measurable functions.

So, one way of finding \mathcal{C} and α could be to use (1). Let \mathcal{R} denote the class of rectangles $A \times B$ with $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Note that if we wish to have $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{C}$, we need to ensure that $(X \times Y) \setminus (A \times B) \in \mathcal{C}$. It is easy to verify that the complement of such a rectangle can be written as

$$(X \times Y) \setminus (A \times B) = ((X \setminus A) \times Y) \cup (X \times (Y \setminus B)),$$

that is, the complement of a rectangle is a finite disjoint union of rectangles. This suggests that we should consider the collection \mathcal{S} of all such finite disjoint unions of rectangles. It turns out \mathcal{S} is an algebra of sets on $X \times Y$ according to the next definition.

Definition 1. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset P(X)$ be a class of subsets of a set X . We say that \mathcal{A} is an *algebra of sets* if (1) $X \in \mathcal{A}$, (2) if $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{A}$ and (3) whenever $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, their union $A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$.

Since we plan to use monotone convergence theorem, it is necessary that the σ -algebra \mathcal{C} should contain all subsets which are obtainable by taking limits of monotone sequences in \mathcal{S} . This motivates the next definition.

Definition 2. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset P(X)$ be a class of subsets of a set X . We say that \mathcal{A} is a *monotone class of sets* (i) if $A_n \in \mathcal{M}$ with $A_n \uparrow A$, then $A \in \mathcal{M}$ and (ii) if $B_n \in \mathcal{M}$ with $B_n \downarrow B$, then $B \in \mathcal{M}$.

The crucial tool in this article is the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *If \mathcal{A} is an algebra of subsets of X and \mathcal{M} is the smallest monotone class containing \mathcal{A} , then \mathcal{M} is a σ -algebra.*

Proof. We claim that \mathcal{M} is closed under complementation. Let $E \in \mathcal{M}$. Consider the class $\mathcal{M}_0 := \{E \in \mathcal{M} : X \setminus E \in \mathcal{M}\}$. Since \mathcal{A} is an algebra, $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}$. Hence $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}_0$. It is easy to check that \mathcal{M}_0 is a monotone class. Hence we conclude that $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}_0$. Hence the claim follows.

For $A \subset X$, we let $\mathcal{M}_A := \{E \in \mathcal{M} : E \cap A \in \mathcal{M}\}$. Since \mathcal{A} is an algebra, it follows that, if $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}_A$. It is again an easy observation that \mathcal{M}_A is a monotone class. Consequently, $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}_A$. That is, if $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $E \in \mathcal{M}$, then $E \cap A \in \mathcal{M}$.

Let $E \in \mathcal{M}$ be arbitrary. Consider \mathcal{M}_E . By the last paragraph, $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}_E$. It is easy to see that \mathcal{M}_E is a monotone class and hence $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}_E$. That is, finite intersections of elements of \mathcal{M} are again in \mathcal{M} . The same is true of union of finite number of elements of \mathcal{M} . \square

Let $\mathcal{M} \subset P(X \times Y)$ be the smallest monotone class containing the algebra \mathcal{S} of the class of all subsets of $X \times Y$ which can be written as disjoint union of a finite number of ‘measurable rectangles’ $R = A \times V$ where $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$. By the monotone class lemma, \mathcal{M} is a σ -algebra on $X \times Y$.

Lemma 4. *Assume that $\mu(X) < \infty$ and $\nu(Y) < \infty$. Let*

$$\mathcal{C} := \left\{ F \subset X \times Y : \int_X \left(\int_Y \mathbf{1}_F(x, y) d\mu \right) d\nu = \int_Y \left(\int_X \mathbf{1}_F(x, y) d\nu \right) d\mu \right\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. The steps are the same. We show that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}$ and then \mathcal{C} is a monotone class. Where do we need the finite measure hypothesis? To deal with the decreasing sequences! \square

Theorem 5 (Existence of Product Measure). *Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{B}, ν) be two σ -finite measure spaces. Then there exists a unique measure α on \mathcal{M} with the property that $\alpha(A \times B) = \mu(A)\nu(B)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. First assume that $\mu(X)$ and $\nu(Y)$ are finite. The last lemma shows that for $E \in \mathcal{M}$, the definition

$$\alpha(E) := \int_X \left(\int_Y \mathbf{1}_E(x, y) d\nu \right) d\mu = \int_Y \left(\int_X \mathbf{1}_E(x, y) d\mu \right) d\nu$$

is well-defined. Also, α is finitely additive on \mathcal{M} due to the linearity of the integrals. By the monotone convergence theorem, α is also countably additive. If β is any measure on \mathcal{M}

with the required property, then the class of subsets E for which $\alpha(E) = \beta(E)$ is a monotone class which contains \mathcal{S} . Hence $\alpha = \beta$ on \mathcal{M} .

In the general case, write $X = \cup_n A_n$ and $Y = \cup_n B_n$ where the unions are disjoint $\mu(A_n) < \infty$ and $\nu(B_n) < \infty$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Given any $E \in \mathcal{M}$, let $E_{mn} := E \cap (A_m \times B_n)$. For each m, n , thanks to the finite case, we have

$$\int_X \int_Y \mathbf{1}_{E_{mn}} d\nu d\mu = \int_Y \int_X \mathbf{1}_{E_{mn}} d\mu d\nu.$$

We can sum these terms over m and n (in any order), to get by countable additivity and monotone convergence theorem that

$$\int_X \left(\int_Y \mathbf{1}_E(x, y) d\mu \right) d\nu = \int_Y \left(\int_X \mathbf{1}_E(x, y) d\nu \right) d\mu, \text{ for } E \in \mathcal{M}.$$

If we set $\alpha(E)$ to be one of these integrals, then by the linearity of the integrals, α is finitely additive. It is countably additive by the monotone convergence theorem. It also has the required property on \mathcal{R} .

If β is any other measure on \mathcal{M} with this property, then writing $E = \cup_{m,n} E_{mn}$ and applying the uniqueness part of the finite measure case to conclude $\alpha(E_{mn}) = \beta(E_{mn})$ for all m, n . Then $\alpha(E) = \sum_{m,n} \alpha(E_{mn}) = \sum_{m,n} \beta(E_{mn}) = \beta(E)$ by countable additivity. \square

Remark 6. The assumption σ -finiteness in the last theorem is essential. For, take $X = Y = [0, 1]$ but μ to be counting measure and ν to be the Lebesgue measure. Then the diagonal $D := \{(x, x) : x \in [0, 1]\}$ is measurable in the product space with

$$\int_X \int_Y \mathbf{1}_D d\nu d\mu = 0 \text{ whereas } \int_Y \int_X \mathbf{1}_D d\mu d\nu = 1.$$

Note that μ is not σ -finite.

Theorem 7 (Fubini-Tonelli). *Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{B}, ν) be two σ -finite measure spaces. Let $f: X \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ measurable with respect to product σ -algebra \mathcal{M} . Then (1) holds true.*

The same conclusion is true if we assume $f \in L^1(X \times Y, \mathcal{M}, \alpha)$. Here $\int f(x, y) d\mu(x)$ is defined for almost all y etc.

Proof. For nonnegative simple functions, the result follows from Theorem 5 and the additivity of the integrals. For nonnegative measurable functions, it follows from MCT.

For $f \in L^1$, apply the result of each of f^+ and f^- . \square

The following extension is quite useful in practice.

Theorem 8. *Let $f: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be \mathcal{M} -measurable. Assume that one of the iterated integrals of $|f|$ exists. Then*

- (i) $f \in L^1(X \times Y, \mathcal{C}, \alpha)$.
- (ii) $\int_Y f(x, y) d\nu(y)$ is defined for almost all x . If it is defined to be 0 on the set of measure zero, then it is measurable and lies in $L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$. The analogous result is also true for $\int_X f(x, y) d\mu(x)$.
- (iii) The double integral of f exists and is equal to each of the iterated integrals.

Proof. Apply Fubini-Tonelli to each of f^+ and f^- . □

We now give two typical applications of these results.

Example 9. It is easy to see that if $f, g \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$, then the following definition makes sense:

$$f * g(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x-y)g(y) dy.$$

It turns out that if $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ (with respect to the Lebesgue measure), then also it makes sense almost everywhere. The *only* way to prove this is to show that the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x-y)g(y)| dy) dx$ exists and appeal to Fubini theorem. The details are left to the reader.

Example 10. Let $P: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a polynomial function. Let $E := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : P(x) = 0\}$. Then E is of Lebesgue measure zero in \mathbb{R}^n . Apply Fubini to $\mathbf{1}_E$ to the n -fold iterated integral and observe that if $x_2 = a_2, \dots, x_n = a_n$ are held fixed, then the polynomial $x_1 \mapsto P(x_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ has only finitely many zeros and hence $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_E(x_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) dx_1 = 0$.

2 Radon-Nikodym and Lebesgue Decomposition Theorems

Theorem 11. Let μ and ν be two finite measures on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{B}) . Then

- (i) there exist measures ν_1 and ν_2 such that $\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2$ where $\nu_1 \ll \mu$ and $\nu_2 \perp \mu$.
- (ii) There exists a nonnegative measurable function φ on (X, \mathcal{B}) such that $\nu_1(E) = \int_X \varphi d\mu$ for $E \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Let $\sigma := \mu + \nu$. Consider $T: L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $Tf := \int_X f d\mu$. Then T is a bounded linear functional. Hence there exists $g \in L^2(\sigma)$ such that $Tf = \int_X fg d\mu$. It follows

$$\int f(1-g) d\sigma = \int f d\mu, \quad \text{for all } f \in L^2(\sigma). \quad (2)$$

$g \geq 0$ almost everywhere σ : If false, take $f = \mathbf{1}_E$ where $E := \{g < 0\}$ in (2) to arrive at the contradiction $\sigma(E) + \int_E g d\sigma = \mu(E)$.

$g \leq 1$ almost everywhere σ : If false, take $f = \mathbf{1}_E$ where $E := \{g > 1\}$ in (2) to arrive at the contradiction $\mu(E) < 0$.

Let $A := \{0 \leq g < 1\}$ and $B := \{g = 1\}$.

Take $f = \mathbf{1}_B$ in (2) to deduce that $\mu(B) = 0$. We define

$$\nu_A(E) := \nu(E \cap A) \text{ and } \nu_B(E) := \nu(E \cap B), \text{ for } E \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Then $\mu(B) = 0$ and $\nu_B(X \setminus B) = \nu_B(A) = \nu(A \cap B) = \nu(\emptyset) = 0$. Hence $\mu \perp \nu_B$.

We claim: $\nu_A \ll \mu$: If $\mu(E) = 0$, we want to prove that $\nu_A(E) = \mu(E \cap A) = 0$. So, assume $\mu(E) = 0$ and $E \subset A$. Taking $f = \mathbf{1}_E$ in (2), we get $\int_E (1-g) d\sigma = 0$. Hence $0 = \mu(E) = \sigma(E) = \mu(E) + \nu(E)$ so that $\nu(E) = \nu(E \cap A) = \nu_A(E)$. Hence the claim.

The following proof of Radon-Nikodym theorem is based on the original argument by John von Neumann. We suppose that μ and ν are real, nonnegative, and finite. The extension to the σ -finite case is a standard exercise, as is μ -a.e. uniqueness of Radon-Nikodym derivative. Having done this, the thesis also holds for signed and complex-valued measures.

Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be a measurable space and let $\mu, \nu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, R]$ two finite measures on X such that $\nu(A) = 0$ for every $A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mu(A) = 0$. Then $\sigma = \mu + \nu$ is a finite measure on X such that $\sigma(A) = 0$ if and only if $\mu(A) = 0$.

Consider the linear functional $T : L^2(X, \mathcal{F}, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$Tu = \int_X u \, d\mu \quad \forall u \in L^2(X, \mathcal{F}, \sigma). \quad (3)$$

T is well-defined because μ is finite and dominated by σ , so that $L^2(X, \mathcal{F}, \sigma) \subseteq L^2(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu) \subseteq L^1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$; it is also linear and bounded because $|Tu| \leq \|u\|_{L^2(X, \mathcal{F}, \sigma)} \cdot \sqrt{\sigma(X)}$. By Riesz representation theorem, there exists $g \in L^2(X, \mathcal{F}, \sigma)$ such that

$$Tu = \int_X u \, d\mu = \int_X u \cdot g \, d\sigma \quad (4)$$

for every $u \in L^2(X, \mathcal{F}, \sigma)$. Then $\mu(A) = \int_A g \, d\sigma$ for every $A \in \mathcal{F}$, so that $0 < g \leq 1$ μ - and σ -a.e. (Consider the former with $A = \{x \mid g(x) \leq 0\}$ or $A = \{x \mid g(x) > 1\}$.) Moreover, the second equality in (4) holds when $u = \chi_A$ for $A \in \mathcal{F}$, thus also when u is a simple measurable function by linearity of integral, and finally when u is a (μ - and σ -a.e.) nonnegative \mathcal{F} -measurable function because of the monotone convergence theorem.

Now, $1/g$ is \mathcal{F} -measurable and nonnegative μ - and σ -a.e.; moreover, $\frac{1}{g} \cdot g = 1$ σ - and μ -a.e. Thus, for every $A \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\int_A \frac{1}{g} \, d\mu = \int_A d\sigma = \sigma(A) \quad (5)$$

Since σ is finite, $1/g \in L^1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$, and so is $f = \frac{1}{g} - 1$. Then for every $A \in \mathcal{F}$

$$\nu(A) = \sigma(A) - \mu(A) = \int_A \left(\frac{1}{g} - 1 \right) d\mu = \int_A f \, d\mu.$$

□

3 Complex Measures

Lemma 12. *Let $T := \{z_k \in \mathbb{C} : 1 \leq k \leq N\}$ be a finite subset of complex numbers. Then there exists a subset $S \subset T$ such that*

$$\left| \sum_{z \in S} z \right| \geq \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^N |z_k|. \quad (6)$$

Proof. For $t \in [0, 2\pi]$, we let $S_t := \{z \in T : -\pi/2 \leq t - \arg(z) \leq \pi/2\}$. (Draw a picture.) Let $f(t) := \left| \sum_{z \in S_t} z \right|$. We plan to show that there exists $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ such that

$$f(\theta) \geq \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^N |z_k|.$$

Note that f is piecewise constant on $[0, 2\pi]$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{z \in S_t} z \right| &= \left| e^{-it} \sum_{z \in S_t} z \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{z \in S_t} e^{-it} z \right| \\ &\geq \left| \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{z \in S_t} e^{-it} z \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{z \in S_t} \operatorname{Re}(e^{-it} z) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{z \in S_t} |z| \cos(t - \arg(z)) \right| \\ &= \sum_{z \in S_t} |z| \cos(t - \arg(z)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) dt &= \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{z \in S_t} z \right| dt \\ &\geq \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{z \in S_t} |z| \cos(t - \arg(z)) dt \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\arg(z_k) - \pi/2}^{\arg(z_k) + \pi/2} |z| \cos(t - \arg(z)) dt \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N 2|z_k|. \end{aligned}$$

The last is due to the fact that $\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos t dt = 2$.

By the extreme value theorem, there exists θ such that $f(\theta) \geq f(t)$ for $t \in [0, 2\pi]$. It follows that

$$2\pi f(\theta) \geq \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) dt \geq 2 \sum_{k=1}^N |z_k|.$$

□