

Weyl's Integral and Character Formulas for $U(n)$

S. Kumaresan
School of Math. and Stat.
University of Hyderabad
Hyderabad 500046
kumaresa@gmail.com

Maximal Torus

Let $G = U(n) = \{g \in GL(n, \mathbb{C}), gg^* = 1\}$, where $g^* = \overline{g^t}$. G is the group of $n \times n$ unitary matrices. It is compact: $g = (u_{ij}) \in G$ iff $\sum u_{ik}u_{kj}^* = \delta_{ij}$ where $u^* = (u_{kj}^*) = (\overline{u_{jk}})$. Hence $g \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ lies in $U(n)$ iff $\sum u_{ik}\overline{u_{jk}} = \delta_{ij}$. Since in the above equation only continuous functions are involved, G is a closed subset of \mathbb{C}^{n^2} . Also by setting $i = j$, we get $\sum_k u_{ik}\overline{u_{ik}} = 1$ or $\sum_i \sum_k |u_{ik}|^2 = n$. Hence $U(n)$ is bounded. Thus G is a compact group.

The most basic fact about the structure of G is the following:

Theorem 1. *Given $u \in G$, there exists an $x \in G$ such that $xux^{-1} = \text{diag}(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n})$, for $0 \leq \theta_i \leq 2\pi$.*

Notice first that $T = \{\text{diag}(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n}) : 0 \leq \theta_j \leq 2\pi\} \subseteq G$ and that $T \approx S^1 \times \dots \times S^1$, an n -torus. How is Theorem 1 proved? Choose $\lambda = \varepsilon$ as a zero of the characteristic equation $\det(\lambda I - u) = 0$. Thus there is a non-zero e such that $ue = \varepsilon e$. We may assume that $e = e_1$ is a unit vector. If $W := e_1^\perp$, then W is invariant under u :

$$\langle uw, e_1 \rangle = \langle w, u^*e_1 \rangle = \langle w, u^{-1}e_1 \rangle = \langle w, \varepsilon^{-1}e_1 \rangle = 0.$$

Thus, $u|_W$ is a unitary map. By induction, we have an O.N. basis of W , say $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ consisting of eigenvectors, say, $ue_j = \varepsilon_j e_j$ for $2 \leq n$.

Note that the diagonal entries in $t = xux^{-1}$, are the eigenvalues of the given matrix u . They are unique only up to a permutation i.e., by effecting a permutation σ of the eigenvectors (which we assume to be orthonormal) we shall get $t_\sigma = (t_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, t_{\sigma(n)}) = \sigma xux^{-1}$. To see this more explicitly: for $\sigma \in S_n$, the group of permutations, set $\sigma e_i = e_{\sigma(i)}$. Then σ extends to a linear transformation on \mathbb{C}^n ; in fact, $\sigma \in U(n)$. (Why?) If we take $y = \sigma x$, then $yuy^{-1}(e_i)$

$$\begin{aligned} yuy^{-1}(e_i) &= \sigma xux^{-1}\sigma^{-1}(e_i) \\ &= \sigma[xux^{-1}(e_{\sigma^{-1}(i)})] \\ &= \sigma\varepsilon_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}e_{\sigma^{-1}(i)} \\ &= \varepsilon_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}\sigma e_{\sigma^{-1}(i)} \\ &= \varepsilon_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}e_i \end{aligned}$$

Thus $yuy^{-1} = \text{diag}(\varepsilon_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, \varepsilon_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$.

Put this in a different way, we have shown that

$$G = \bigcup_{g \in G} gTg^{-1}.$$

T is known as a *maximal torus* of G . Thus every element of G is conjugate to an element of T .

Now given a $u \in T \subseteq G$, when do we have $xux^{-1} = yuy^{-1}$, for $x, y \in G$?

$$xux^{-1} = yuy^{-1} \Leftrightarrow y^{-1}xux^{-1}y = u \Leftrightarrow (y^{-1}x)u(y^{-1}x)^{-1} = u \Leftrightarrow y^{-1}x \in Z_G(u),$$

where $Z_G(u)$ is the centralizer of u in G . If we further assume u has distinct eigenvalues, then $y^{-1}x \in T$. (Note that for $u \in T$, $T \subseteq Z_G(u)$.)

If we set

$$\begin{aligned} T_r &= \{t \in T : t \text{ has distinct eigenvalues} \} \\ &= \{t \in T : t_i = t_j \Rightarrow i = j\}. \end{aligned}$$

then T_r is an open dense subset of T . We set

$$G_r = \bigcup_{g \in G} gT_r g^{-1}.$$

Any element of G_r is said to be *regular*. $G \setminus G_r$ consists of *singular* elements. Note that G_r is open. (Why?)

Weyl Integral Formula

Consider now the map $\psi : G/T \times T_r \rightarrow G_r$ given by $(x, t) \mapsto txt^{-1}$. The map ψ is well-defined (as we have seen earlier). For any $u \in G_r$, there exists precisely $n! = |S_n|$ elements, t_i , $1 \leq i \leq n!$ such that $xt_i x^{-1} = u$. (All of them are related via S_n -action as defined earlier). We want to claim that for integration purpose, G_r is a good enough subset of G . i.e., $G_s = G \setminus G_r$ is negligible for measure theoretic reasons.

If this can be done, then G_r can be ‘parametrized’ by the product $(G/T) \times T_r$ and we can compute the |jacobian| of the map ψ , call it $w(x, t)$ so that the Haar measure on G can be written as:

$$\int_{G_r} f(g) dg = \int \left(\int (f(txt^{-1})w(x, t) dt) \right) dx,$$

in an obvious notation.

We show that G_s is negligible by showing that the dimension of G_s is $n^2 - 3$. Note that $\dim G = n^2$. (See below.)

The ‘best’ singular element in T can be written in the form $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_3, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ $\varepsilon_i \neq \varepsilon_j$ for $i \neq j$, $3 \leq i, j \leq n$. Then any element that commutes with a singular element of the above form can be represented by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & & & \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} & & & \\ & & \alpha_3 & & \\ & & & & \alpha_n \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in U(2)$$

Thus the set C of all elements that commute with the given singular element has $(n-2)+4 = n+2$ dimensions. Hence $\dim G/C = n^2 - (n+2) = n^2 - n - 2$. The set S_b of the best singular elements in T has dimension $(n-1)$. Since $\psi: G/C \times S_b \rightarrow G$ is surjective on the best singular elements in G , the set of such elements has dimension $n^2 - n - 2 + (n-1) = n^2 - 3$.

Now if we take any other singular element, say, of the form $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, \varepsilon, \varepsilon_4, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ or $(\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$, then the C corresponding to such element will have dimension $> n+2$ so that G/C will have dimension $< n^2 - (n+2)$ and the set of such elements in T has dimension $\leq n-2 < n-1$ and hence, under ψ , elements which are worse than the best singular element will have dimension $< n^2 - 3$.

In any case, thus, $\dim G - G_r < n^2$, and hence G_r is a good set for integration.

Thus we have: G_r is an open dense subset of G , with $\dim G \setminus G_r < \dim G$ and

$$\psi: G/T \times T_r \rightarrow G_r \text{ is a } |S_n| \text{ - fold covering.}$$

Computation of the Jacobian of ψ :

We want to identify the tangent space \mathfrak{g} of G at $1 \in G$. Let $t \rightarrow \chi(t)$ be a curve in G such that $\chi(0) = 1$. Since $\chi(t)\chi(t)^* = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(\chi(t)\chi(t)^*)|_{t=0} &= 0 \\ \text{i.e. } \chi'(t)^* + \chi'(t)\chi(t)^*|_{t=0} &= 0 \\ \text{or } \chi'(0)^* + \chi'(0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\chi'(0)$ is a skew-hermitian matrix.

Thus \mathfrak{g} consists of all skew-hermitian matrices and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g} = n^2$. $\mathfrak{t} = T_1(T)$, the tangent space of T at 1, can be identified with the set of diagonal matrices in \mathfrak{g} , i.e., $\mathfrak{t} = \{(i\theta_1, \dots, i\theta_n) : \theta_j \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Also, if $\mathfrak{p} = T_1(G/T)$ is the tangent space to G/T at the identity coset then \mathfrak{p} is the span of

$$\{X : \begin{pmatrix} * & z - \bar{z} & * \end{pmatrix} : z \in \mathbb{C}\},$$

where z is in the (i, k) -th place, $i < k$. Note that for $t \in T$, and X as above in \mathfrak{p} , we have $tXt^{-1} = (t_i/t_k)X$.

We are now ready to compute the Jacobian of ψ : For $h \in \mathfrak{t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds}\psi(x, t \exp sh)|_{s=0} &= \frac{d}{ds}(xt \exp shx^{-1})|_{s=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{ds}(xtx^{-1}x \exp shx^{-1})|_{s=0} \\ &= xtx^{-1} \frac{d}{ds}(x \exp shx^{-1})|_{s=0} \\ &= xtx^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For $X \in \mathfrak{p}$, we can compute, for $X = X_{ik}$, a basic element of \mathfrak{p} ,

$$\frac{d}{ds}\psi(x \exp sX, t)|_{s=0} = \frac{d}{ds}(x \exp sXt \exp(-sX).x^{-1})|_{s=0}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= x\chi tx^{-1} - xt\chi x^{-1} \\
&= xtt^{-1}Xtx^{-1} - txt^{-1}x\chi x^{-1} \\
&= txt^{-1}xt^{-1}\chi tx^{-1} - txt^{-1}x\chi x^{-1} \\
&= txt^{-1}(xt^{-1}\chi(xt^{-1})^{-1} - x\chi x^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

At $x = e$, we have

$$\frac{d}{ds}\psi(\exp sX, t) = t(t^{-1}Xt - X) = t((t_k/t_i - 1)X).$$

But note that $\mathbb{C}X_{ik}$ is a complex 1-dimensional space and hence on this 1-dimensional \mathbb{C} space, when we consider it as a 2-dimensional real vector space, the linear map $d\psi$ is of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\begin{pmatrix} (t_k/t_i) - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & (t_k/t_i) - 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} t_k/t_i - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & (t_i/t_k - 1) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{t_k - t_i}{t_i} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{t_k - t_i}{t_k} \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark: Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$. The Jacobian of the map $z \mapsto \alpha z$ on \mathbb{C} is $|\alpha|^2$.

Thus $d\psi_{(1T,1)}$ on the space $T_{1T}(G|_T) \oplus T_1(T)$ can be written as $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{t_k - t_i}{t_i} & 0 & & & \\ 0 & \frac{t_k - t_i}{t_k} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

and hence

$$|\text{jacobian}| = \Delta \bar{\Delta} = \omega(r, t) \text{ where } \Delta = \prod_{i < k} (t_i - t_k).$$

At other points (xT, t) the above calculation shows that $\omega(x, t) = \omega(1, t)$, so that the |jacobian|-density needed for the change of variable formula is given as $\omega(x, t) = \Delta \bar{\Delta}$.

Thus we have proved the Weyl integral formula:

$$\int_{G_r} f(g)dg = \frac{1}{|S_n|} \int_{G/T} \left(\int_T f(xtx^{-1})\Delta \bar{\Delta}(t) dt \right) dx. \quad (1)$$

In particular if f is a class-function i.e., $f(xgx^{-1}) = f(g)$ for all $x, g \in G$, then we have

$$\int_{G_r} f(g)dg = \frac{1}{|S_n|} \int f(t)\Delta(t)\bar{\Delta}(t)dt. \quad (2)$$

(Here dt is the normalized Haar measure on T , dx then G -invariant measure on G/T).

Weyl Character Formula

We can use the Weyl integral formula for the class-function to write down all the irreducible characters of $U(n)$.

Let χ be an irreducible character of G , i.e. the character of an irreducible representation of G . We make a series of observations from which we deduce the explicit form of the characters:

1) χ is a class-function and $G = \cup gTg^{-1}$ imply that it is enough to know $\chi|_T$.

2) S_n acts on T as we have seen earlier, and $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ and $(t_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, t_{\sigma(n)}) = t_\sigma$ are conjugate and hence $\chi(t) = \chi(t_\sigma)$.

3) Let (π, H) be the irreducible representation such that $\chi_\pi = \chi$. When we restrict π to T , then $(\pi|_T, H)$ is a unitary representation of the compact, abelian group T and hence H splits as a direct sum $\oplus H_i$ such that $(\pi|_T, H) = \oplus (\pi|_T, H_i)$, and $(\pi|_T, H_i)$ is an irreducible 1-dimensional representation of $T = S^1 \times \dots \times S^1$. We know all the irreducible 1-dimensional representations of T . They are of the form $(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n}) \mapsto e^{ir_1\theta_1 + \dots + ir_n\theta_n}$, where $(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. We write this, as $\chi_r(t) = e^{ir\theta}$, for $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \in ([0, 2\pi))^n$, $r\theta = \sum_j r_j \theta_j$. Choosing as a basis for H , a non zero vector from each

one of the H_i , we can write $\pi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_r(t) & & & & \\ & \chi_s(t) & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \chi & \\ & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$ for $r, s, \dots \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\pi(t) &= \chi(t) \\ &= \chi_r(t) + \chi_s(t) + \dots \\ &= e^{ir.\theta} + e^{is.\theta} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\chi|_T$ is a finite Fourier series. Also each representation χ_r in $\pi|_T$ can have multiplicity $C \geq 1$. Hence collecting them together, we may write $\chi|_T(t) = C e^{ir.\theta} + C' e^{is.\theta} + \dots$, $C, C' \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

4) Let $P = \{r \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \text{ where } \chi_r \text{ occurs in } \chi|_T\}$ we call P the set of weights of π . We have the lexicographic ordering on $P : r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \geq s = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ if the first non-zero difference $r_j - s_j \geq 0$. Since the lexicographic order is a total order and since P is a finite set, we have a highest element, say, $r \in P : r > s$, for any $s \in P \setminus \{r\}$ (i.e., $r \geq s$, $r \neq s$). The coefficient C of χ_r in $\chi|_T$ is a *positive* integer.

We observe that $\chi|_T(t) = C\chi_r(t) + C'\chi_s(t) + \dots$ and $\chi|_T(\sigma.t) = \chi|_T(t)$ and

$$\chi_s(\sigma.t) = e^{(is_1 t_{\sigma(1)} + \dots)} = \chi_{\sigma^{-1}(s)}(t),$$

where

$$\sigma^{-1}(s) = \sigma^{-1}(s_1, \dots, s_n) = (s_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, s_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}),$$

for *any* $s \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. We deduce that whenever $s \in P$, we also have $\sigma^{-1}s \in P$ and that the coefficients of ψ_s and $\psi_{\sigma^{-1}s}$ in $\chi|_T$ are the same for any $\sigma \in S_n$.

In other words S_n -acts on P as above and

$$\chi|_T = C \left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \chi_{\sigma.r} \right) + C' \left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \psi_{\sigma.s} \right) + \dots$$

This is referred to as the S_n -symmetry of $\psi|_T$.

5). By Schur's orthogonality relations, we know that χ is an irreducible character iff $\int_G \chi \bar{\chi} = 1$. Since χ is a class-function, we have, by Weyl integral formula.

$$\int_G \chi(g) \bar{\chi}(g) dg = \frac{1}{|S_n|} \int_T \chi(t) \Delta(t) \bar{\chi}(t) \bar{\Delta}(t) dt.$$

This suggests that we consider the function. $\xi(t) = \chi(t) \Delta(t)$. We first observe that Δ is an S_n -antisymmetric function: $\Delta(\sigma.t) = \text{sgn}(\sigma) \cdot \Delta(t)$, for $\sigma \in S_n$. For, if $\sigma = (i, i+1)$,

$$\Delta(\sigma.t) = (t_1 - t_2) \cdots (t_{i+1} - t_i) \cdots = -\Delta(t).$$

This is true for all transpositions and hence for all $\sigma \in S_n$.

Since χ was already observed to be S_n -symmetric their product ξ is S_n -anti-symmetric. Also note that, in ξ , 'the highest term' is of the form $C(e^{i(r_1+n-1)\theta_1} e^{r_2+(n-2)\theta_2} \dots)$ and the coefficient of this highest term is the same positive integer C which occurs in $\chi = C\chi_r + \dots$. Also note that if any s appears in ξ with coefficient C' , that is, $\xi = \dots + C' e^{is\theta} + \dots$, then $\sigma \cdot s$ also appears in ξ with coefficient $\text{sgn}(\sigma) \cdot C$.

6. What are the simplest S_n -anti-symmetric functions on T one can think of?

Given $\ell = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. We form the elementary S_n -anti-symmetric sum

$$\xi_\ell(\theta) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) e^{\sigma.\ell.\theta}, \quad \sigma.\ell = (\ell_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \ell_{\sigma(n)}).$$

Note that unless ℓ_i are mutually distinct, $\xi_\ell = 0$ and that we can write

$$\xi_\ell(\theta) = \det(t_i^{\ell_j}) = (t_i^{\ell_j}), \quad t = (e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n}) = (t_1, \dots, t_n).$$

In particular, $\Delta = \xi_{n-1, \dots, 1, 0}$ an elementary anti-symmetric sum.

7). Now in $\xi = \chi \Delta$, if we denote the highest weight $((r_j + n - j)) = (\ell_j) = \ell$, then

$$\xi = C \xi_\ell + \dots, \quad \text{where } C, \dots \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ and } C > 0.$$

That is, $e^{i(\sigma.\ell)\theta}$ occurs in ξ with coefficient $\text{sgn}(\sigma)C$ for any $\sigma \in S_n$. Also $\sigma.\ell < \ell$, for any $\sigma \neq 1, \sigma \in S_n$. So, in particular, for $\sigma = (i, i+1)$, $\sigma.\ell < \ell \Rightarrow \ell_i > \ell_{i+1} \Rightarrow \ell_1 > \ell_2 \cdots > \ell_n$. We can now repeat the above argument of anti-symmetry to $\xi - \xi_\ell$ and so that we can write

$$\xi = C \psi_\ell + C^1 \xi_{\ell^1} + \dots, \quad \text{with } C, C^1, \dots \in \mathbb{Z}^+, C > 0$$

and $\ell > \ell^1 > \dots$.

8). For any $m, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \ell \neq m$, χ_m and χ_ℓ are distinct irreducible characters of T and so $\int_T \chi_m \chi_\ell dt = 0$. Hence $\int_T \xi_\ell \bar{\xi}_m = \delta_{\ell, m} n!$. Since χ is an irreducible character,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int_{G_r} \chi(g) \bar{\chi}(g) dg \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \int_T \chi(t) \delta(t) \bar{\chi}(t) \bar{\delta}(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \int_T (C \xi_\ell + C^1 \chi_{\ell^1} + \dots) (C \bar{\xi}_\ell + C^1 \bar{\chi}_{\ell^1} + \dots) dt \\ &= (C^2 + C'^2 + \dots) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $C = 1, C^1 = \dots = 0$. Hence $\xi = \xi_\ell$ or

$$\chi(t) = \frac{\sum \text{sgn}(\sigma) e^{(\sigma, \ell)(t)}}{\Delta}, \quad \text{for } t \in T_r.$$

Thus χ is explicitly written for $t \in T_r$. Since $\chi|_T$ is, anyhow, a trigonometric polynomial (see 3) and $\chi(t)$, for $t \in T^i$ is also a trigonometric polynomial, χ extends uniquely to all of T . The leading term of the finite Fourier series $\chi|_T$ is $t_1^{r_1} \dots t_n^{r_n}$, $r_j = \ell_j - (n - j)$, and so $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \dots$. Recall that r is called the highest weight of the representation. Since ξ_ℓ , when ℓ and r are related as above, uniquely determines ξ , it follows that r uniquely determines the representation.

9). Given $r = (r_1 \dots r_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \dots \geq r_n$. We can form $\chi_r = \frac{\chi_\ell}{\Delta}$. Is χ_r an irreducible character? Yes, it is always, since χ_r is a continuous class-function on G and $\int \chi_r \bar{\psi}_s = 0$ for any $s \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, with $s_1 \geq \dots \geq s_n$ and $r \neq s$.

10). The dimension $d(r)$ of the representation whose highest weight is r and whose character is given by $\chi_r = \xi|_\Delta$, is given by $\chi_r(e)$. But the expression we got for χ_r is valid only for regular elements and $1 \notin G_r$. But observe that $\xi_\ell(1) = 0$ and so we have an indeterminate form of the type $0/0$. So we can apply L'Hopital type argument.

Weyl's dimension formula

Recall that if χ is the character of a finite dimensional representation then $\chi(e)$ is the dimension of that representation. Now the Weyl's character formula for the irreducible representation (with $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ as highest weight) of $U(n)$ is given by

$$\chi(t) = \frac{\sum \text{sgn}(\sigma) \dots e^{i(\sigma, \ell) \cdot t}}{\sum \text{sgn}(\sigma) e^{i(\sigma, \rho) \cdot t}},$$

where $\gamma \in T_r$ is a regular element (that is, with distinct eigenvalues), $\ell_1 = r_1 + (n - 1), \ell_2 = r_2 + (n - 2) \dots \ell_{n-1} = r_{n-1} + 1, \ell_n = r_n, \sigma$ runs through S_n . Since $e \in T_r$, we cannot evaluate $\chi(e)$ by substituting $t = e$ in the above formula. Notice that both the numerator and the denominator become zero at e . We can hence use the L'Hopital's rule.

Observe that, in our earlier notation, $\xi(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n) = \sum \text{sgn}(\sigma) e^{i(\ell_{\sigma(1)} \theta_1 + \dots + \ell_{\sigma(n)} \theta_n)}$, can also be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_1^{\ell_1} & \dots & t_n^{\ell_n} \\ t_2^{\ell_1} & \dots & t_n^{\ell_n} \\ \vdots & & \\ t_n^{\ell_1} & \dots & t_1^{\ell_n} \end{pmatrix} = (t_i^{\ell_j}).$$

If we set $t_\rho = (e^{i(n-1)\theta}, \dots, e^{i\theta}, 1)$ then we have

$$\chi(e) = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \chi(t_\rho) = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\xi_\ell(t_\rho)}{\xi_\rho(t_\rho)}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_\ell(t_\rho) &= \sum \text{sgn}(\sigma) e^{i(\ell_{\sigma(1)}(n-1)\theta_1 + \dots + \ell_{\sigma(n)}\theta_n)} \\ \xi_\rho(t_\rho) &= \sum \text{sgn}(\sigma) e^{i(\rho_{\sigma(1)}\ell_1\theta_1 + \dots + \rho_{\sigma(n)}\ell_n\theta_n)} \end{aligned}$$

Or,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_\ell(t_\rho) &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(n-1)\theta\ell_n} & \dots & e^{i(n-1)\theta\ell_n} & \\ & e^{i\theta\ell_n} & \dots & e^{i\theta} \\ & & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \xi_\rho(t_\rho) &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\ell_n \cdot \theta n - 1} & \dots & e^{i\ell_n \theta \cdot \rho n} & \\ & e^{i\ell_2 \theta n - 1} & \dots & 1 \\ & & e^{i\ell_1 \theta n - 1} & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and so $\xi_\rho(t_\ell) = \xi_\ell(t_\rho)$.

Also, $\xi_\rho(t) = \prod_{i < k} (t_1 - t_k) = \prod_{j < k} (t_j - t_k)$ and hence

$$\xi_\rho(t_\ell) = \prod_{j < k} (e^{i\ell_j \theta} - e^{i\ell_k \theta}) = \prod_{j < k} ((\ell_j - \ell_k)\theta + \text{higher order terms}).$$

Hence $\frac{\xi_\ell(t_\rho)}{\xi_\rho(t_\rho)} = \frac{\prod_{j < k} ((\ell_j - \ell_k)\theta + \dots)}{\prod_{j < k} ((n-j-(n-k))\theta + \dots)}$. Therefore $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\xi_\ell(t_\rho)}{\xi_\rho(t_\rho)} = \prod_{j < k} \frac{(\ell_j - \ell_k)}{(k-j)} := d(r)$.

The branching law for $U(n)$

Let $G = U(n)$. If we consider $H = \{g \in U(n) : g.e_n = e_n \text{ where } e_n \text{ is the } n\text{-th elt of the usual o.n. basis of } \mathbf{C}^n\}$, then $H \simeq U(n-1)$

$H = \int \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right); a \in U(n-1)$. Thus we consider $U(n-1)$ as a subgroup of $U(n)$. By Weyl's character formula we know all the irreducible characters of $U(n)$.

Now, if (π, V) is an irreducible representation of G , then $(\pi|_H, V)$ is a (f.d) representation of the compact group $U(n-1)$, and hence is a direct sum of irreducible representations of H . We ask: How does it decompose?

Note that if χ_π is the character of π of G then $\chi_\pi|_H = \sum m_i \chi_{\sigma_i}$, where $\pi|_H = \oplus m_i \sigma_i$ irreducible representation of H . By Schur's theory we know that σ_i is determined completely by χ_{σ_i} . By Weyl's character formula we know all the irreducible characters of G and those of H . Also we know χ_i is determined completely by χ_{σ_i} . By Weyl's character formula we know all the irreducible characters of G and those of H . Also we know $\chi|_T$ and $\chi_{\sigma_i}|_{T \cap H}$ determine χ and χ_{σ_i} (and hence π and σ_i completely). (Note that $T \cap H$ is the maximal torus of H). So it is enough to write down the decomposition $\chi = \sum m_i \chi_{\sigma_i}$ on $T \cap H$. Note that $T \cap H = \{t = t(\theta) = t(\theta_1 \dots \theta_n) : \theta_n = 0\}$ or $t = (t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, 1, |t_i| = 1 \forall t \in T \cap H$.

Now the denominator is given by

$$D(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, (t_1 - 1)(t_2 - 1) \dots (t_{n-1} - 1)) : \quad 1$$

Recall that $D(\underline{t})$ can be written as a determinant $\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \dots & t_{n-1} & 1 \\ t_2 & \dots & 1 & t_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ t, & t_{n-1} & \dots & t_2 & t_1 \end{pmatrix}$. So subtract the last column of $D(\underline{t})$ from each of the previous ones and factor the resulting $(n - 1)$ order determinant. (Recall $\overline{D(t)} =$ the difference product $= \pi_{i < j} (t_i - t_j)$). Then 1 follows trivially. In fact, the proof is given above).

To divide the numerator by $(t_1 - 1) \dots (t_{n-1} - 1)$. We subtract the 2nd column from the 1st, 3rd from the 2nd, ... and n -th from $(n - 1)$ -th. Then the last row is $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$. The definition is then reduced to one of order $n - 1$. Now divide each elt. in the j -th row by $(t_j - 1)$, using

$$t^{\ell_1} - t^{\ell_2} / t_{-1} = t^{\ell_1} - 1 + \dots + t \ell_2.$$

Of course we assume $\chi = \chi_\ell$, where $\ell = (\ell_1 \dots \ell_n)$

$$\ell_1 > \ell_2 > \dots > \ell_n, \ell_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore the resulting expression is, for $t \in H \cap T$

$$\chi_\ell(t) = | t^{\ell_1 - 1} + \dots + t^{\ell_2}, t^{\ell_2 - 1} + \dots + t^{\ell_3} \dots |.$$

But this is the sum of all $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ determinants of the form $| t^{r_1} \dots t_n^{r_{n-1}} |$.

$$\ell_1 \supset r_1 \geq \ell_2 > r_2 \geq \ell_3 > \dots > r_{n-1} \geq \ell_n.$$

Since $\underline{\rho} = \underline{\ell} - \rho$ is the highest weight of the representation with irreducible ch. $\chi_\ell, f_i = \ell_i - (n - i)$.

So if we subtract $(n - j)$ from r_j , then we get f' as the highest weight of $\chi_{r'}$, an irreducible character of $V(n - 1)$. Thus we have proved

Theorem: If $\underline{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ is the highest weight of an irreducible representation of G , then that representation when restricted to H becomes a direct sum of irreducible representations of H with highest weight \underline{f}' , where f and f' are related as follows: $f_1 \geq f_1' \geq f_2 \geq \dots \geq f_{n-1}' \geq f_n'$.

Home Work

1). G a connected loc. cpt. gp. Γ a discrete subgroup of $G\Gamma \subseteq$ center (G) . If $[G, G]$ is dense in $G.d$ if $G \mid \Gamma$ is compact then G is compact.

2). G a compact s.s. connected Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . If every representation of \mathfrak{g} is the differential of a representation of G , then G is simply connected.

3). Show that the two sheeted (or any non-trivial) covering group \tilde{G} of $G = SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ does not have a faithful representation.

(Hints: 1) There does exist such non-trivial covering groups of $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$. 2) $G_{\mathbf{C}} = SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ is simply connected. 3). Remember Weyl's theorem on the con. between representation of groups and those of the Lie algebras).

4). Let χ be the character of an irreducible representation of a compact group G . Then

$$\chi(a)\chi(b) = \dim_{\chi(e)} \int_G \chi(xax^{-1}b)dx \left(\int_G dx = 1 \right).$$

Conversely any of its *fn.* φ satisfying $\varphi(a)\varphi(b) = \int \varphi(xax^{-1}b)dx$ is, but for a scalar, an irreducible character.

5). Any irreducible representation of a compact group on a Banach (or any 'decent' top vector space) is finite dimensional.

Note: 1) Make an honest attempt to solve those on your own.
2) In case of inability to solve any, ask me to give you hints.