

# Isometries of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and Sylvester Criterion for Positive Definite Matrices

S. Kumaresan  
School of Math. and Stat.  
University of Hyderabad  
Hyderabad 500046  
kumaresa@gmail.com

## 1 Introduction

This article is based on a lecture given in a Workshop for College Teachers, organized by Bombay Mathematics Colloquium and Bhavan's College on September 15, 2004. I was requested to speak on two topics: one is the isometries of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and the other is the criterion for a symmetric matrix to be positive definite. I first review some basic facts on isometries of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and then end up with the study of isometries of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Readers with a good background can go directly to the Subsection 2.1.

I thank Professor Dhvanita Rao for the invitation and the audience for an enthusiastic response.

## 2 Isometries of $\mathbb{R}^n$

Let  $(X, d)$  and  $(Y, d)$  be metric spaces. A map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be an isometry if  $d(f(x_1), f(x_2)) = d(x_1, x_2)$  for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . Note that an isometry is always one-one but in general not onto. For instance, consider  $f: [1, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  given by  $f(x) = x + 1$ . If  $f$  and  $g$  are isometries of  $X$  to itself, then  $g \circ f$  is also an isometry. The set of surjective isometries of a metric space form a group under the composition.

We consider  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the Euclidean inner product  $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$ . We then have the notion of norm or length of a vector  $\|x\| := \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$ . It is well-known that  $d(x, y) := \|x - y\|$  defines a metric on  $X$ . Thus  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d)$  becomes a metric space. The aim of this article is to give a complete description of all isometries of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and look a little more geometrically into the isometries of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

First a bit of convention: We consider  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as the vector space of column vectors, that is,  $n \times 1$  real matrices. Given an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ , we have a linear map on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  given by  $x \mapsto Ax$ . In the sequel, we shall not distinguish between the matrix  $A$  and the associated linear map. I am sure that the context will make it clear what we are referring to.

Let us first of all look at some examples of isometries. For a fixed  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , consider the

translation  $T_v: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  given by  $T_v(x) := x + v$ . Then  $T_v$  is an isometry of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$d(T_v x, T_v y) = \|T_v x - T_v y\| = \|(x + v) - (y + v)\| = \|x - y\| = d(x, y).$$

We now describe another important class of isometries of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A linear map  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is said to be orthogonal if it preserves inner products: for every pair  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$\langle f(x), f(y) \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle.$$

The following result is well-known. For a proof, I refer the reader to my book on linear algebra.

**Theorem 1.** *For a linear map  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  the following are equivalent:*

1.  $f$  is orthogonal.
2.  $\|f(x)\| = \|x\|$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
3.  $f$  takes an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to an orthonormal basis. □

We now look at a very special class of orthogonal maps.

Fix a unit vector  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $W := (\mathbb{R}u)^\perp := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, u \rangle = 0\}$ . Then  $W$  is a vector subspace of dimension  $n - 1$ . This can be seen as follows. The map  $f_u: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $f_u(x) := \langle x, u \rangle$  is linear. It is nonzero, since  $f_u(u) = 1$ . Hence the image of  $f_u$  is a nonzero vector subspace and hence all of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Also, we observe that  $W = \ker f_u$ . Hence by the rank-nullity theorem,

$$n = \dim \mathbb{R}^n = \dim \ker f_u + \dim \text{Im } f_u.$$

It follows that  $W$  is an  $n - 1$  dimensional vector subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We thus have an orthogonal decomposition  $\mathbb{R}^n = W \oplus \mathbb{R}u$ . We use  $W$  as a mirror and reflect across it. Thus any vector in  $W$  is mapped to itself whereas the vector  $u$  is mapped to  $-u$ . Thus the reflection  $\rho_W: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is given by  $\rho(x) = w - tu$ , where  $x = w + tu$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . This map is clearly an orthogonal linear map. For, if  $\{w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $W$ , then  $\{w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}, u\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The linear map  $\rho_W$  carries this orthonormal basis to the orthonormal basis  $\{w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}, -u\}$  and hence is orthogonal. We have another description of this map as follows:

$$\rho_W(x) := x - 2 \langle x, u \rangle u. \tag{1}$$

The advantage of this expression is that it is basis-free. We also observe that the expression remains the same if we replace  $u$  by  $-u$ .

We shall look at the case when  $n = 2$  in detail. We shall derive a matrix representation of  $\rho_W$  from (1). Consider the one dimensional subspace  $\mathbb{R} \begin{pmatrix} \cos t \\ \sin t \end{pmatrix}$ . Let  $u = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin t \\ \cos t \end{pmatrix}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_W(e_1) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - 2 \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -\sin t \\ \cos t \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \begin{pmatrix} -\sin t \\ \cos t \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2 \sin^2 t \\ 2 \sin t \cos t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2t \\ \sin 2t \end{pmatrix} \\ \rho_W(e_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - 2 \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -\sin t \\ \cos t \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \begin{pmatrix} -\sin t \\ \cos t \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \sin 2t \\ -\cos 2t \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the reflection about the line is given by  $\rho_W = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2t & \sin 2t \\ \sin 2t & -\cos 2t \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Remark 2.** Reflections generate the group of orthogonal linear maps. (We shall not prove this.) Thus, they are the building blocks of orthogonal linear maps. If we observe that  $\rho^2 = 1$  for any reflection, then the analogy between the transpositions in a symmetric group and reflections is striking.

We now give a complete list of all orthogonal maps of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $A: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be an orthogonal. If we represent  $A$  with respect to the standard orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  as a matrix, then  $A$  is either of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} \text{ or of the form } \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t \\ \sin t & -\cos t \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the first case, we say that it is a rotation by an angle  $t$  in the anticlockwise direction. In the latter case, it is reflection with respect to the line  $\mathbb{R} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(t/2) \\ \sin(t/2) \end{pmatrix}$ , as seen earlier.

Thus we have understood all the orthogonal maps of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We wish to do the same in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . See Subsection 2.1.

A surprising result (Theorem 5) is that any isometry of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that fixes the zero vector must be linear and orthogonal. A proof of this can also be found in my book. However, I indicate a slightly different proof.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $\langle x, x \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, y \rangle$ . Then  $x = y$ .*

*Proof.* We compute the length square of  $x - y$ :

$$\langle x - y, x - y \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle - 2\langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle = 0,$$

in view of our hypothesis. Hence  $x = y$ . □

**Lemma 4.** *An isometry of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that fixes the origin preserves the inner products.*

*Proof.* Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an isometry such that  $f(0) = 0$ . We need to prove that  $\langle f(x), f(y) \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Since  $d(f(x), f(y)) = d(x, y)$ , we have  $\|f(x) - f(y)\| = \|x - y\|$ . Taking squares, we get

$$\langle f(x) - f(y), f(x) - f(y) \rangle = \langle x - y, x - y \rangle, \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (2)$$

Since  $f(0) = 0$ , setting  $y = 0$  in (2), we get  $\langle f(x), f(x) \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle$ . Similarly,  $\langle f(y), f(y) \rangle = \langle y, y \rangle$ . Now, if we expand both sides of (2) and cancelling equal terms such as  $\langle x, x \rangle$  and  $\langle y, y \rangle$ , we get the desired result. □

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an isometry with  $f(0) = 0$ . Then  $f$  is an orthogonal linear map.* □

*Proof.* In view of Lemma 4, we need only show that  $f$  is linear. Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $z = x + y$ . We shall show first that  $f(z) = f(x) + f(y)$ . In view of Lemma 3, it suffices to show that

$$\langle f(z), f(z) \rangle = \langle f(z), f(x) + f(y) \rangle = \langle f(x) + f(y), f(x) + f(y) \rangle.$$

Expanding the last two terms, we need to show that

$$\langle f(z), f(z) \rangle = \langle f(z), f(x) \rangle + \langle f(z), f(y) \rangle = \langle f(x), f(x) \rangle + 2\langle f(x), f(y) \rangle + \langle f(y), f(y) \rangle. \quad (3)$$

Since  $f$  preserves inner products, (3) holds same iff

$$\langle z, z \rangle = \langle z, x \rangle + \langle z, y \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle + 2\langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle, \quad (4)$$

holds. Since  $z = x + y$ , (4) is clearly true!

Similarly, if we take  $y = ax$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , then we need to show that  $f(y) = af(x)$ . In view of Lemma 3, it is enough to show that

$$\langle f(ax), f(ax) \rangle = \langle f(ax), af(x) \rangle = \langle af(x), af(x) \rangle.$$

Since  $f$  is inner product preserving, it suffices to show that

$$\langle ax, ax \rangle = a \langle ax, x \rangle = a^2 \langle x, x \rangle,$$

which is true. □

As immediate consequences of Theorem 5, we have

**Corollary 6.** (i) Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be an isometry. Then  $f = T_v \circ A$  where  $v = f(0)$ .

(ii) Any isometry of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is onto.

*Proof.* Consider  $g := T_{-v} \circ f$  where  $v = f(0)$ . Then clearly,  $g$  is an isometry of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $g(0) = 0$ . Hence  $g$  is an orthogonal linear map, say,  $A$ . Hence  $f = T_v \circ A$ . Thus (i) is proved.

Since any translation and any orthogonal linear map are onto, so is an isometry. □

## 2.1 Isometries of $\mathbb{R}^3$

**Definition 7.** Given a two dimensional vector subspace  $W$  of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we define a rotation in the plane  $W$  as follows. Fix an orthonormal basis  $\{w_1, w_2\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Fix a unit vector  $u$  such that  $u \perp W$ . We consider the linear map given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{W,t}(w_1) &= \cos t w_1 + \sin t w_2 \\ R_{W,t}(w_2) &= -\sin t w_1 + \cos t w_2 \\ R_{W,t}(u) &= u. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $R_{W,t}$  is orthogonal. Also, with respect to the orthonormal basis  $\{w_1, w_2, u\}$ , it is represented by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t & 0 \\ \sin t & \cos t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We shall refer to  $R_{W,t}$  as the rotation in the plane  $W$  with  $\mathbb{R}u$  as the axis of rotation. Note that  $\det(R_{W,t}) = 1$ .

**Proposition 8.** (i) *Let  $A$  be a  $3 \times 3$  orthogonal matrix. Assume that  $\det A = 1$ . Then 1 is an eigenvalue of  $A$ .*  
(ii) *Let  $A$  be a  $3 \times 3$  orthogonal matrix. Assume that  $\det A = 1$ . Then  $A$  is a rotation.*

*Proof.* (i). Consider the following chain of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A - I) &= \det(A - AA^t) = \det(A(I - A^t)) \\ &= \det(A) \det(I - A^t) = \det((I - A^t)^t) = \det(I - A). \end{aligned}$$

For any  $n \times n$  matrix  $B$ , we have  $\det(-B) = (-1)^n \det B$ . Hence, we see that  $\det(A - I) = \det(I - A) = -\det(A - I)$ . We conclude that  $\det(A - I) = 0$ . From this, (i) follows.

We now prove (ii). By (i), there exists a unit vector  $u \in \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $Au = u$ . Let  $W := (\mathbb{R}u)^\perp$ . Then  $W$  is a two dimensional vector subspace. We claim that  $Aw \in W$  for any  $w \in W$ . This is seen as follows:

$$\langle Aw, u \rangle = \langle Aw, Au \rangle = \langle w, u \rangle = 0.$$

Thus  $A|_W: W \rightarrow W$  is an orthogonal linear map. If we choose an orthonormal basis  $\{w_1, w_2\}$  of  $W$ , then  $A$  can be represented with respect to the orthonormal basis  $\{w_1, w_2, u\}$  either as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t & 0 \\ \sin t & \cos t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ or as } \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t & 0 \\ \sin t & -\cos t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The second case cannot occur as the determinant is -1, contradicting our hypothesis. Hence (ii) follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $A$  be any  $3 \times 3$  orthogonal matrix. Then either  $A$  is a rotation or is a rotation followed by a reflection.*

*Proof.* If  $\det A = 1$ , then we know that it is a rotation. So we assume that  $\det A = -1$ . Then consider the reflection matrix corresponding to the  $xy$  plane:  $\rho = \text{diag}(1 \ 1 \ -1)$ . Then  $B = \rho A$  has determinant 1 and hence is a rotation. Since  $A = \rho B$ , the theorem follows.  $\square$

**Remark 10.** Let  $A$  be an orthogonal  $3 \times 3$  matrix with  $\det A = 1$ . How can we decide whether  $A$  is a pure reflection or is a rotation followed by a reflection? If it is a pure reflection, then its eigenvalues are  $+1, +1, -1$ . In the other case, either all eigenvalues are  $-1$  or it has only one real eigenvalue, namely  $-1$ .

### 3 Sylvester Criterion for Positive Definiteness

We shall consider  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as the vector space of column vectors, that is, matrices of type  $n \times 1$ . The standard inner product or the dot product of two vectors  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = x \cdot y = y^t x,$$

where the  $1 \times 1$  matrix is identified as a real number. Given an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ , we have a linear map on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  given by  $x \mapsto Ax$ . In the sequel, we shall not distinguish between the matrix  $A$  and the associated linear map. I am sure that the context will make it clear what we are referring to.

A quadratic form  $q: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be positive definite iff  $q(v) > 0$  for any nonzero  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We say that an  $n \times n$  real symmetric matrix  $A$  is positive definite if the associated quadratic form  $q: x \mapsto x^t Ax$  is positive definite.

Let us first look at lower dimensions to gain some insight. When  $n = 1$ , any quadratic form on  $\mathbb{R}$  is of the form  $q(x) = ax^2$ . This is positive definite iff  $a > 0$ . Now, consider a form in two variables:

$$q(x_1, x_2) := a_{11}x_1^2 + 2a_{12}x_1x_2 + a_{22}x_2^2.$$

(We chose to represent the coordinates of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by  $x_1, x_2$ , instead of  $x, y$ , which are easier to type and write, so that we can perceive how the higher dimensional case will go!) Assume that this is positive definite. Then for all vectors  $(x_1, 0)$  with  $x_1 \neq 0$ , we must have  $a_{11}x_1^2 > 0$ . Hence we conclude that  $a_{11} > 0$ . We can rewrite the form as follows:

$$q(x_1, x_2) = a_{11} \left( x_1 + \frac{a_{12}}{a_{11}}x_2 \right)^2 + \left( a_{22} - \frac{a_{12}^2}{a_{11}} \right) x_2^2. \quad (5)$$

We choose a vector so that  $x_1 + \frac{a_{12}}{a_{11}}x_2 = 0$  with  $x_2 \neq 0$ . It follows from (5) that  $(a_{22} - \frac{a_{12}^2}{a_{11}}) > 0$ . This is the same as saying that  $\det \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} > 0$ .

Let us now look at  $n = 3$ . Let the quadratic form be given by  $q(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 a_{ij}x_i x_j$ . If this is positive definite, by taking vectors with  $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ , we see that  $a_{11} > 0$ . Hence we rewrite the quadratic form as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} q(x) &= a_{11} \left( x_1 + \frac{a_{12}}{a_{11}}x_2 + \frac{a_{13}}{a_{11}}x_3 \right)^2 + \left( a_{22} - \frac{a_{12}^2}{a_{11}} \right) x_2^2 + \left( a_{33} - \frac{a_{13}^2}{a_{11}} \right) x_3^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \left( a_{23} - \frac{a_{12}a_{13}}{a_{11}} \right) x_2x_3. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

As analyzed earlier, we see that  $q$  is positive definite iff  $a_{11} > 0$  and the quadratic form in the variables  $x_2, x_3$  is positive definite. The latter entails in the conditions

$$a_{22} - \frac{a_{12}^2}{a_{11}} > 0 \text{ and } \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{22} - \frac{a_{12}^2}{a_{11}} & a_{23} - \frac{a_{12}a_{13}}{a_{11}} \\ a_{23} - \frac{a_{12}a_{13}}{a_{11}} & a_{33} - \frac{a_{13}^2}{a_{11}} \end{pmatrix} > 0.$$

The second condition may be understood if we compute the determinant of  $A = (a_{ij})$ , using an elementary operation, as follows:

$$\det A = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{22} - \frac{a_{12}^2}{a_{11}} & a_{23} - \frac{a_{12}a_{13}}{a_{11}} \\ 0 & a_{23} - \frac{a_{12}a_{13}}{a_{11}} & a_{33} - \frac{a_{13}^2}{a_{11}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The above can be put in a more tractable form as follows. Let

$$y = x_1 + (a_{12}/a_{11})x_2 + (a_{13}/a_{11})x_3 \text{ and } z = y - x_1.$$

Then  $q(x) = a_{11}y^2 - a_{11}z^2 + \sum_{i,j=2}^3 a_{ij}x_i x_j$ . Now it is clear how the general case will look like. Given  $q(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}x_i x_j$ , we let

$$y = x_1 + (a_{12}/a_{11})x_2 + \cdots + (a_{1n}/a_{11})x_n \text{ and } z = y - x_1.$$

We check that  $q(x) = a_{11}y^2 - a_{11}z^2 + \sum_{i,j=2}^n a_{ij}x_i x_j$ . This suggests how to proceed by induction. We now define a quadratic form that depends on  $n-1$  variables, namely,  $x_2, \dots, x_n$ :  $q'(x') = \sum_{i,j=2}^n a_{ij}x_i x_j - a_{11}z^2$ . If we set  $b_{ij} := a_{ij} - (a_{i1}a_{1j})/a_{11}$ , we find that

$$q'(x, ) = \sum_{i,j=2}^n b_{ij}x_i x_j.$$

The relation between determinants the symmetric matrix  $A$  of the quadratic form  $q$  and that of  $q'$  is given by

$$\det A = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & b_{22} & b_{23} & \cdots & b_{2n} \\ 0 & b_{23} & b_{33} & \cdots & b_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & b_{n2} & b_{n3} & \cdots & b_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the matrix, say,  $B$  on the right side of the equation is obtained by an obvious elementary operation. If  $q$  is positive definite, then  $a_{11} > 0$ . Also, if we denote by  $M_k(X)$  the  $k$ -th principal minor of a square matrix  $X$ , then  $M_k(A) = M_k(B)$ . It follows by induction that  $q'$  is positive definite and hence  $q$  is. This completes the classical proof of the criterion for the positive definiteness of a real symmetric matrix. Note that the proof carries through in the case of hermitian matrices also, with obvious modifications such as  $x_j^2$  replaced by  $z_j \bar{z}_j$  etc.

We now indicate a more conceptual and less computational proof which uses basic concepts from linear algebra.

**Lemma 11.** *A real symmetric matrix  $A$  is positive definite iff all its eigenvalues are positive.*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be a real symmetric matrix of order  $n$ . If  $A$  is positive definite and  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$  with a unit eigenvector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $0 < x^t A x = A x \cdot x = \lambda x \cdot x = \lambda$ .

Conversely, if  $A$  is symmetric with all its eigenvalues positive, by diagonalization theorem, there exists an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  consisting of eigenvectors. Assume that  $\{v_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  be such a basis with  $A v_i = \lambda_i v_i$ . Then any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  can be written as  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i v_i$  where  $x_i = x \cdot v_i$ . We compute

$$A x \cdot x = A \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i v_i \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{j=1}^n x_j v_j \right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \lambda_j x_i x_j v_i \cdot v_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i^2 > 0$$

if  $x \neq 0$ . Thus  $A$  is positive definite. □

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  be a basis of a vector space  $V$ . Suppose that  $W$  is a vector subspace. If  $\dim W > m$ , then*

$$W \cap \text{span}\{v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n\} \neq (0).$$

*Proof.* Recall that if  $W_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , are vector subspaces, then  $\dim(W_1 \cap W_2) = \dim W_1 + \dim W_2 - \dim(W_1 + W_2)$ . Now, if  $\dim W > m$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(W \cap \text{span}\{v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n\}) \\ &= \dim W + \dim(\text{span}\{v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n\}) - \dim(W + \text{span}\{v_{m+1}, \dots, v_n\}) \\ &> m + (n - m) - n = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  real symmetric matrix. If  $\langle Aw, w \rangle > 0$  for all  $w \in W$ , then  $A$  has at least  $\dim W$  positive eigenvalues (counted with multiplicity).*

*Proof.* Let  $\dim W = r$ . Let  $\{v_k : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$  be an orthonormal eigen-basis of  $A$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $Av_k = \lambda_k v_k$  for all  $k$ . Let us assume, without loss of generality, that  $\lambda_k > 0$  for  $1 \leq k \leq m$  and that  $\lambda_k \leq 0$  for  $k > m$ . If  $m < \dim W$ , then, by Lemma 12, there is a nonzero vector  $v \in W$  such that  $w = a_{m+1}v_{m+1} + \dots + a_nv_n$ . We compute

$$\langle Aw, w \rangle = \sum_{j,k=m+1}^n a_j a_k \langle Av_j, v_k \rangle = a_{m+1}^2 \lambda_{m+1} + \dots + a_n^2 \lambda_n \leq 0,$$

a contradiction. Hence  $m \geq \dim W$ , as required.  $\square$

**Definition 14.** Let  $A := (a_{ij})$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. Then the matrix  $(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$  is called the  $k$ -th *principal submatrix* and determinant is known as the  $k$ -th *principal minor*.

**Theorem 15** (Sylvester). *A real symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix is positive definite iff all its principal minors are positive.*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be a real positive definite  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix. Since the eigenvalues of  $A$  are positive, it follows that  $\det A$ , being the product of the eigenvalues must be positive. Now the restriction  $A_k$  of  $A$  to the  $k$  dimensional vector subspace  $\mathbb{R}^k := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_j = 0 \text{ for } j > k\}$  is also positive definite. Clearly the matrix of  $A_k$  is the  $k$ -th principal matrix and hence its determinant must be positive by the argument above.

Let  $A$  be a real  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix all of whose principal minors are positive. We prove, by induction that  $A$  is positive definite by showing that all its eigenvalues are positive. For  $n = 1$ , the result is trivial. Assume the sufficiency of positive principal minors for  $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$  real symmetric matrices. If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  real symmetric matrix, then its  $(n - 1)$ -th principal submatrix is positive definite by induction. Let  $W = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be the subspace whose last coordinate is 0. Then for any nonzero  $w \in W$ , we observe that  $\langle Aw, w \rangle = \langle A_{n-1}x', x' \rangle$  where  $x = (x', 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . Since  $A_{n-1}$  is positive definite by induction, we see that  $\langle A_{n-1}x', x' \rangle > 0$  for  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . Hence  $\langle Ax, x \rangle > 0$  for  $x \in W$ . By Lemma 12,  $A$  has at least  $(n - 1)$  positive eigenvalues. Now  $\det A$  is the product of the eigenvalues of  $A$  and  $(n - 1)$  of these eigenvalues are positive. Hence, it follows that all the eigenvalues of  $A$  are positive. Hence  $A$  is positive definite.  $\square$

## Reference

S. Kumaresan, *Linear Algebra—A Geometric Approach*, Prentice-Hall of India, 2000.